



W I L D F L O W E R
a group meeting *and* golf destination

December 19, 2006

To the Honorable Judge Stacey G. C. Jernigan:

Re: Wildflower Resort Company, Case no. 06-34841-SGJ-11, United States Bankruptcy Court,
For the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.

I am writing this in response to the Emergency Motion of Peg Morris, Ronald J. Riley, Jr. and Ryan L. Taylor for Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee, or Alternative an Examiner for Wildflower Resort Company, filed with this Court in the above-referenced case December 13, 2006 and scheduled to be heard this coming Thursday, December 21, 2006 (the "Emergency Motion").

I am writing this on behalf of Wildflower Resort Company ("Wildflower"). I am writing this instead of Wildflower's attorney because as your honor knows, this Court denied approval of Wildflower's attorney. Since then, Wildflower has not been able to retain a replacement attorney to represent its interests before this Court.

Therefore, as the Chief Executive Officer of Wildflower, I request this Court (1) deny the Emergency Motion, and (2) Dismiss this bankruptcy case, for the following reasons:

First, to grant the Emergency Motion after taking the extraordinary step of denying Wildflower legal counsel would be even more extraordinary and onerous, and would deny Wildflower its constitutional due process rights.

Second, if this Court denies the Emergency Motion and Dismiss this case, no parties will be harmed. In particular, no creditor will be prejudiced by this Court's denial and dismissal. In fact, all creditors rights and remedies will be restored to their original status if this Court denies the Emergency Motion and dismisses this case as this Court said it would when this Court denied Wildflower's motion to approve its legal counsel.

Third, if any creditor of Wildflower like Movant wishes to establish an involuntary bankruptcy, there are established legal procedures for doing so. Thus, again, no prejudice or harm would result if this Court denies the Emergency Motion and dismisses this case.

Fourth, the Emergency Motion is being made by a very small percentage of Wildflower's creditors, representing less than 2.5% of Wildflower's overall creditor claims.

Fifth, if this Court grants the Emergency Motion and appoints the Trustee, the Trustee is much less likely than Wildflower's current management to move the Wildflower development project forward, which can only be accomplished by consummating the construction financing now being actively negotiated by the Company; and thus, irreparable damage will be done to Wildflower and to Wildflower's creditors, including Movant's, who likely will not be repaid their debts in such an event.

Finally, given all of the above, the Court should do everything within its power to preserve the status quo and the only way to preserve the status quo is to deny the Emergency Motion and dismiss this case in its entirety.

Most cordially yours,
WILDFLOWER RESORT COMPANY

QuickTime™ and a
TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

Raymond J. Goad
President and CEO